

A case before the Second Circuit (Roemer v. Columbia U. and Attorney Grievance Committee, 17-818) might be of interest to the Attorney General because the defendants and the district judge are blatantly violating the First Amendment. Columbia U. declined my offer to give a lecture/lesson on this argument for God's existence: Humans are finite beings, finite beings need a cause, therefore, an infinite being exists. In doing this, Columbia violated the academic freedom of the Columbia community because this argument is generally unknown. I proved this in the pleadings by citing the entries in the Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy which tell only about the arguments based on unsolved scientific questions.

There is a cause of action because the General Counsel of Columbia sent me a letter threatening me with legal action if I sent emails to the various ministers at Columbia with my offer. I also think the behavior of the district judge who dismissed the case is grossly illegal. My first complaint named the General Counsel of Columbia and the Attorney Grievance Committee of New York State. After an exchange of emails with the attorney representing the General Counsel, I added the President of Columbia U. as a defendant, and hired a process server to serve papers on the President. The attorney representing the General Counsel claimed that he represented the President one day before the President got the summons. I may be mistaken, but in my mind the President has defaulted. I see no reason why the Second Circuit should not sign the default judgment I submitted.