



David Roemer <david@dkroemer.com>

Natural Selection

1 message

David Roemer <david@dkroemer.com>

Fri, Oct 20, 2017 at 12:51 PM

To: "Deal W. Hudson" <dHUDSON@avemariaradio.net>

Dear Deal,

I thought you might want to see the quotations that I am basing my statement that natural selection explains only adaptation. Notice the first paragraph by Daniel McShae. Notice that Dawkins speaks of "adaptive evolution" and Marc Kirschner says "evolved characters so well adapted". Can you see what is dishonest in Kenneth Miller's refutation of what Behe said? Christine Kennaely thinks natural selection explains evolution, but she is not a biologist:

<http://www.newevangelization.info/fifteen/al-jahiz.html>

This brings us to the absurdity of the AJP article. One of the reasons there is no explanation (other than Intelligent Design) for evolution is that improbability of getting a protein from the random selection of amino acids. The probability of getting hemoglobin is 20 to the 600th power because there are 20 different amino acids and 600 in hemoglobin. Physicists also do probability calculations in thermodynamics. If you have a gas with N molecules and imagine the container has N compartments, the probability that all of the molecules will go into one compartment is $1/N!$ ($N! = N \times N-1 \times N-2 \dots$) according to the second law of thermodynamics. Thermodynamics has to do with the location of particles in 3 dimensional space. Biology has to do with information: which amino acid is next to which. It is just as irrational to say evolution violates the second law and it is to say it does not. Whereas there are no peer-reviewed biology articles saying natural selection explains evolution, there is a peer reviewed physics article defending the idea that natural selection explains evolution.

Very truly yours,

David Roemer

<http://www.pseudoscience123.com>