

The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy has three long entries titled "Teleological Arguments for God's Existence," "Cosmological Argument," and "Ontological Arguments." None of these long entries explicate a rational concept of God and the proof of God's existence.

These three entries promote either nonsense or the incorrect idea that God is the creator of the universe. The universe is a collection of molecules. It is not one being, it is many beings. The universe only exists in the minds of human beings who are using the word universe. The rational concept of God is that God is the creator of human beings. Each of us can say: "I am a human being. I am not a collection of molecules. I am a single unified being with free will and a drive to know and understand everything. I want to know why I exist."

The theory that immediately comes to mind is that human beings are created by another being that is such it does not need a creator. The idea that human beings evolved from animals is not science because human beings have free will and the conscious knowledge of human beings as opposed to the sense knowledge of animals. That animals can see and hear and plants cannot is a scientific observation. That human beings have free will comes from our ability to make ourselves the subject of our own knowledge. It is not a scientific observation.

Such a being must exist if we assume or hope that the universe is intelligible. This is obviously not a proof. However, I am calling it a proof because there is no need to make a decision about whether or not God exists. The only decision we have to make is whether or not we pay for

our sins after we die. The important thing is to know and understand the argument for God's existence.