

I filed a petition for certiorari to the U. S. Supreme Court (docket no. 17-1361) for a First Amendment lawsuit I filed against the Attorney Grievance Committee of the New York State Unified Court System, the general counsel of Columbia University (Jane Booth), and the President of Columbia U. (Lee Bollinger). I argued that the dismissal of my lawsuit by the U. S. Court of Appeals for the Second Circuit conflicted with the cases involving the teaching of biological evolution in public schools. The U. S. Supreme Court reviews only a small percent of the cases submitted.

In this case, however, there is grounds for thinking the legal proceedings are grossly unlawful because of two procedural peculiarities. The first is that my request for oral argument was granted one day after I told the U. S. Attorney General about the lawsuit. The second is that I filed an amended complaint and added as a defendant Lee Bollinger. The attorney representing Jane Booth announced his appearance for Lee Bollinger one day before Bollinger was served the summons. In my mind, the attorney representing Jane Booth is perpetrating a fraud upon the federal judiciary and the district judge is collaborating with this fraud. I filed a judicial conduct complaint with the Second Circuit on March 15, 2018.

This instance of alleged judicial misconduct is a philosophical matter because it is connected to the irrational behavior of academics about the concept of God and evolutionary biology